

**QUANTUM ERGODICITY AND  $L$ -FUNCTIONS**  
**LECTURE 4**  
**SUBCONVEXITY**

YIANNIS N. PETRIDIS

1. PRELIMINARY FACTS ABOUT THE RIEMANN ZETA FUNCTION

We recall that the Riemann zeta function

$$\zeta(s) = \frac{1}{n^s}$$

converges absolutely for  $\Re(s) > 1$ . Below we prove its analytic continuation in  $\Re(s) > 0$  with pole at  $s = 1$  and write it in a suitable way to prove Weyl's theorem.

Let  $[x]$  denote the integral part of  $x$  and  $\{x\}$  its fractional part. Using summation by parts we see that

$$\sum_{n \leq X} \frac{1}{n^s} = s \int_1^X \frac{[x]}{x^{s+1}} ds + \frac{[X]}{X^s}.$$

Since  $\{x\} = x - [x]$  we write

$$\sum_{n \leq X} \frac{1}{n^s} = \frac{s}{s-1} - \frac{s}{(s-1)X^{s-1}} - s \int_1^X \frac{\{x\}}{x^{s+1}} ds + \frac{1}{X^{s-1}} - \frac{\{X\}}{X^s}.$$

Letting  $X \rightarrow \infty$  we deduce that for  $\Re(s) > 1$  we have

$$\zeta(s) = \frac{s}{s-1} - s \int_1^\infty \frac{\{x\}}{x^{s+1}} dx.$$

Since the last integral converges absolutely for  $\Re(s+1) > 1$ , i.e.  $\Re(s) > 0$ , it defines a holomorphic function in this range. Moreover, we subtract the two equations above to deduce that

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n \leq X} \frac{1}{n^s} + \frac{1}{(s-1)X^{s-1}} + \frac{\{X\}}{X^s} - s \int_X^\infty \frac{\{x\}}{x^{s+1}} dx.$$

We apply it with  $X = t^2$  and  $\sigma = \Re(s) = 1/2$  to deduce that

$$(1.1) \quad \zeta(1/2 + it) = \sum_{\substack{n \leq t^2 \\ 1}} \frac{1}{n^{1/2+it}} + O(1).$$

**Remark.** Eq. (1.1) is a way of approximating the Riemann zeta inside the critical strip by using a finite partial sum of the non-convergent series. There are many and better formulae of this type. The one worth mentioning is the approximate functional equation [3, 4.13, p. 79]. Let  $\chi(s) = \pi^{s-1/2}\Gamma((1-s)/2)/\Gamma(s/2)$ , i.e. the quotient of the Gamma factors in the functional equation of  $\zeta$ . Then

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{1}{n^s} + \chi(s) \sum_{n \leq y} \frac{1}{n^{1-s}} + O(x^{-\sigma} \log |t|) + O(|t|^{1/2-\sigma} y^{\sigma-1})$$

for  $0 < \sigma < 1$ ,  $t > 0$ ,  $2\pi xy = t$ . This shows that to approximate  $\zeta(1/2 + it)$  we need to finite sums of length approximately  $\sqrt{t}$ .

**Remark.** For all  $L$ -functions one can write an approximate functional equation (in a smooth form), see [2, p. 98]. This is of prime importance in trying to prove sub-convexity for it. We provide it here, although we will not use it. Let  $G(u)$  be an even holomorphic function with  $G(0) = 1$  and is bounded on the vertical strip  $-4 < \Re(u) < 4$ . Then for  $s$  in the vertical strip  $0 \leq \Re(s) \leq 1$ , we have

$$L(f, s) = \sum_n \frac{\lambda(n)}{n^s} V_s \left( \frac{n}{X\sqrt{q}} \right) + \epsilon(f, s) \sum_n \frac{\bar{\lambda}(n)}{n^{1-s}} V_{1-s} \left( \frac{nX}{\sqrt{q}} \right),$$

where  $q$  is the conductor,  $\gamma(f, s)$  is the archimedean factor of the  $L$ -function,  $\epsilon(f)$  is the root number,

$$V_s(y) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(3)} y^{-u} G(u) \frac{\gamma(f, s+u)}{\gamma(f, s)} \frac{du}{u}, \quad \epsilon(f, s) = \epsilon(f) q^{1/2-s} \frac{\gamma(f, 1-s)}{\gamma(f, s)}.$$

## 2. WEYL'S BOUND

In this section we study exponential sums to prove the celebrated result of Weyl:

**Theorem 2.1** (Weyl and Hardy–Littlewood). *For the Riemann zeta function the following estimate holds on the critical line*

$$\zeta(1/2 + it) \ll t^{1/6} \log t, |t| \geq 2.$$

In the following  $e(x) = e^{2\pi i x}$ ,  $I = (a, b]$  and  $\|a\| = \min_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |a - n|$ .

**Theorem 2.2** (Kuzmin–Landau, [1]). *If  $f$  is continuously differentiable,  $f'$  is monotonic and  $\|f'\| \geq \lambda > 0$  on  $I$ , then*

$$\sum_{n \in I} e(f(n)) \ll \lambda^{-1}.$$

*Proof.* We can assume that  $f'$  is increasing and that for some integer  $k$  we have

$$k + \lambda \leq f'(x) \leq k + 1 - \lambda.$$

Since  $e(kn) = 1$ , we can assume that  $\lambda \leq f'(x) \leq 1 - \lambda$ . With  $g(n) = f(n+1) - f(n)$  we see that  $g$  is increasing (mean-value theorem) and that  $\lambda \leq g(n) \leq 1 - \lambda$ . A simple calculation shows that

$$e(f(n)) = \frac{e(f(n)) - e(f(n+1))}{1 - e(g(n))} = ((e(f(n)) - e(f(n+1)))c_n,$$

with

$$c_n = \frac{1}{2}(1 + i \cot \pi g(n)).$$

We use summation by parts (discrete version) to get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \in I} e(f(n)) &= \sum_{n=a+1}^{b-1} e(f(n))(c_n - c_{n-1}) + e(f(a+1))c_{a+1} + e(f(b))(1 - c_{b-1}), \\ \left| \sum_{n \in I} e(f(n)) \right| &\leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a+2}^{b-1} |\cot \pi g(n-1) - \cot \pi g(n)| + |c_{a+1}| + |1 - c_{b-1}|. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\cot$  is decreasing (notice that  $g(n) \in [0, 1]$ ), we see that the sum telescopes:

$$\left| \sum_{n \in I} e(f(n)) \right| \leq \frac{1}{2}(\cot \pi g(a+1) - \cot \pi g(b-1)) + |\cot \pi g(a+1)| + |\cot \pi g(b-1)| + O(1).$$

We know that  $\sin \pi x \geq 2x$  for  $0 \leq x \leq 1/2$  (convexity of  $\sin$ ). Since  $\sin \pi x = \sin \pi(1-x)$  we have  $|\sin \pi x| \geq 2||x||$  and  $|\cot \pi x| \ll ||x||^{-1}$ . This suffices.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.3** (van der Corput). *Suppose that  $f$  is real valued with two continuous derivatives on  $I = (a, b]$ . Moreover, suppose that for some constant  $\lambda > 0$  and some  $\alpha \geq 1$  we have*

$$\lambda \leq |f''(x)| \leq \alpha\lambda, \quad x \in I.$$

Then

$$\sum_{n \in I} e(f(n)) \ll \alpha |I| \lambda^{1/2} + \lambda^{-1/2}.$$

*Proof.* See problem session and [1, p. 8].  $\square$

**Lemma 2.1** (Weyl differencing, [3]). *Let  $f$  be real valued,  $a < n \leq b$  and  $q \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $q \leq b - a$ . Then*

$$\left| \sum_{n \in I} e(f(n)) \right| \ll \frac{b-a}{q^{1/2}} + \left( \frac{b-a}{q} \sum_{r=1}^{q-1} \left| \sum_{a < n \leq b-r} e(f(n+r) - f(n)) \right| \right)^{1/2}.$$

*Proof.* We extend the function  $e(f(x))$  to be 0 for  $n \leq a$  and  $n > b$ . We rewriting the sum  $q$  times we see that

$$S = \sum_n e(f(n)) = \frac{1}{q} \sum_n \sum_{m=1}^q e(f(m+n)).$$

We note that the inner sum is 0, if  $n \leq a - q$  or  $n > b - 1$ . We use the Cauchy–Schwartz inequality to get

$$\left| \sum_n e(f(n)) \right| \leq \frac{1}{q} \sum_n \left| \sum_{m=1}^q e(f(m+n)) \right| \leq \frac{1}{q} \left( \sum_n 1 \sum_n \left| \sum_{m=1}^q e(f(m+n)) \right|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

The values of  $n$  are at most  $b - a + q \leq 2(b - a)$  by our choice of  $q$ . So we can bound  $\sum_n 1 \leq 2(b - a)$ . We deduce that

$$S \leq \frac{1}{q} \left( 2(b - a) \sum_n \left| \sum_{m=1}^q e(f(m+n)) \right|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Here comes the main idea: the sum over  $m$  can be expanded and then we separate the diagonal and off-diagonal terms:

$$\left| \sum_{m=1}^q e(f(m+n)) \right|^2 = \sum_{m,k=1}^q e(f(m+n) - f(k+n)) = q + 2 \sum_{1 \leq k < m \leq q} e(f(m+n) - f(k+n)).$$

This gives

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_n \left| \sum_{m=1}^q e(f(m+n)) \right|^2 \leq 2(b - a)q + 2 \left| \sum_n \sum_{k < m} e(f(m+n) - f(k+n)) \right|.$$

The differences  $f(m+n) - f(k+n)$  are quite often the same for different triples  $(m, k, n)$ . With  $1 \leq k < m \leq q$  we have that  $m - k$  is between 1 and  $q - 1$ . We set  $r = m - k$  and set  $d = k + n$  so that  $f(m+n) - f(k+n) = f(d+r) - f(d)$ . For given  $d$  and  $r$  we count how often this happens. The answer is that it happens  $q - r$  times:  $k = 1, m = r + 1, k = 2, m = r + 2, \dots, k = q - r, m = q$ . The absolute value in (2.1) is

$$\left| \sum_{r=1}^{q-1} (q - r) \sum_d e(f(d+r) - f(d)) \right| \leq q \sum_{r=1}^{q-1} \left| \sum_d e(f(d+r) - f(d)) \right|.$$

We finally deduce that

$$S \leq \frac{1}{q} \left( 4(b - a)^2 q + 4(b - a)q \sum_{r=1}^{q-1} \left| \sum_d e(f(d+r) - f(d)) \right| \right)^{1/2}.$$

□

**Theorem 2.4.** *Suppose that  $f$  is real valued with three continuous derivatives on  $I = (a, b]$ . Moreover, suppose that for some constant  $\lambda > 0$  and some  $\alpha \geq 1$  we have*

$$\lambda \leq |f'''(x)| \leq \alpha\lambda, \quad x \in I.$$

Then

$$\sum_{n \in I} e(f(n)) \ll |I| \alpha^{1/2} \lambda^{1/6} + |I|^{1/2} \lambda^{-1/6}.$$

*Proof.* The result is trivial if  $\lambda \geq 1$ .

We try to use van der Corput's result but we are given information on the third derivative. So we define for  $r > 0$

$$g(x) = f(x+r) - f(x) \implies g''(x) = f''(x+r) - f''(x) = r f'''(\xi),$$

by the mean value theorem, for some  $\xi \in (x, x+r)$ . With the given bound on the third derivative we have

$$r\lambda \leq |g''(x)| \leq r\alpha\lambda, \quad x \in I.$$

It follows from van der Corput's result above that

$$\sum_{a < n \leq b-r} e(g(n)) \ll |I| \alpha (r\lambda)^{1/2} + (r\lambda)^{-1/2}.$$

We now use the previous lemma on Weyl differencing to deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a < n \leq b} e(f(n)) &\ll |I| q^{-1/2} + \left( |I| q^{-1} \sum_{r=1}^{q-1} (|I| \alpha (r\lambda)^{1/2} + (r\lambda)^{-1/2}) \right)^{1/2} \\ &= |I| q^{-1/2} + (\alpha |I|^2 q^{1/2} \lambda^{1/2} + |I| q^{-1/2} \lambda^{-1/2})^{1/2} \\ &= |I| q^{-1/2} + \alpha^{1/2} |I| q^{1/4} \lambda^{1/4} + |I|^{1/2} q^{-1/4} \lambda^{-1/4}. \end{aligned}$$

The first term is dominated by the second of  $q = [\lambda^{-1/3}]$ , as long as  $\lambda \leq 1$  and  $q \leq |I| = b - a$ . This provides the bound

$$\sum_{a < n \leq b} e(f(n)) \ll \alpha^{1/2} |I| \lambda^{1/6} + |I|^{1/2} \lambda^{-1/6}.$$

The last case to consider is  $q > |I|$ . This gives  $\lambda^{-1/3} \geq |I|$ . Then  $|I| \ll |I|^{1/2} \lambda^{-1/6}$  and the result follows from the trivial bound on the exponential sum.  $\square$

*Proof of 2.1.* We recall

$$(2.2) \quad \zeta(1/2 + it) = \sum_{n \leq t^2} \frac{1}{n^{1/2+it}} + O(1).$$

We split the sum in three ranges (A):  $n \leq t^{2/3}$ , (B):  $t^{2/3} < n \leq t$ , and (C):  $n > t$ .

For (A) we use the function

$$f(x) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} t \log x \implies f'''(x) = -\frac{t}{2\pi x^3}.$$

The previous theorem gives for  $b \leq 2a$ :

$$\sum_{a < n \leq b} n^{-it} \ll a(t/a^3)^{1/6} + a^{1/2}(t/a^3)^{-1/6} = O(a^{1/2} t^{1/6} + a t^{-1/6}).$$

We can omit the second term if  $a \leq t^{2/3}$ . By partial summation

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_{a < n \leq b} \frac{1}{n^{1/2+it}} \ll t^{1/6}.$$

Using a dyadic decomposition we deduce that

$$\sum_{n \leq t^{2/3}} \frac{1}{n^{1/2+it}} \ll t^{1/6} \log t.$$

For (B) we use van der Corput's theorem above to deduce that

$$\sum_{a < n \leq b} n^{-it} \ll t^{1/2} + at^{-1/2}.$$

Summation by parts produces

$$\sum_{a < n \leq b} \frac{1}{n^{1/2+it}} \ll (t/a)^{1/2} + (a/t)^{1/2}.$$

Therefore, even when  $a > t^{2/3}$  but  $a < t$ , the estimate (2.3) still holds. Using a dyadic decomposition we deduce that

$$\sum_{t^{2/3} < n \leq t} \frac{1}{n^{1/2+it}} \ll t^{1/6} \log t.$$

For (C) we can use Kuzmin–Landau to deduce that

$$\sum_{t < n \leq t^2} \frac{1}{n^{it}} = O(1/t) \implies \sum_{t < n \leq t^2} \frac{1}{n^{1/2+it}} = O(1).$$

□

## REFERENCES

- [1] Graham, S. W.; Kolesnik, G. van der Corput's method of exponential sums. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 126. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991. vi+120 pp. ISBN: 0-521-33927-8
- [2] Iwaniec, H.; Kowalski, E. Analytic number theory. American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, 53. AMS, Providence, RI, 2004. xii+615 pp. ISBN: 0-8218-3633-1
- [3] Titchmarsh, E. C. The theory of the Riemann zeta-function. Second edition. Edited and with a preface by D. R. Heath-Brown. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1986. x+412 pp. ISBN: 0-19-853369-1

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY COLLEGE LONDON, GOWER STREET, LONDON WC1E 6BT

*Email address:* i.petridis@ucl.ac.uk